arXiv:1602.00461v1 [math.PR] 1 Feb 2016

Weak law of large numbers for linear processes

Vaidotas Characiejus*[a] and Alfredas Račkauskas[b]

[a] *Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44780 Bochum, Germany*
e-mail: vaidotas.characiejus@gmail.com
[b] *Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko g. 24, 03225 Vilnius, Lithuania*
e-mail: alfredas.rackauskas@mif.vu.lt

February 2, 2016

Abstract

We establish sufficient conditions for the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type weak law of large numbers for a linear process $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ defined by $X_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{k-j}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\{\psi_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables such that $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. We use an abstract norming sequence that does not grow faster than $n^{1/p}$ if $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$. If $\sum |\psi_j| = \infty$, the abstract norming sequence might grow faster than $n^{1/p}$ as we illustrate with an example. Also, we investigate the rate of convergence in the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type weak law of large numbers for the linear process.

1 Introduction and the main results

Suppose that $0 < p < 2$ and let $\{\xi_k : k \geq 1\}$ be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. The Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type weak law of large numbers (M-Z WLLN) states that

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k \to c \quad \text{in probability} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

if and only if $x^p \Pr\{|\xi_1| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and $c = 0$ when $0 < p < 1$, $\mathrm{E}[\xi_1 I_{\{|\xi_1| \leq x\}}] \to c$ as $x \to \infty$ when $p = 1$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\xi_1 = c = 0$ when $1 < p < 2$ (see [1] for $p = 1$ and [6] for $p \neq 1$).

*Corresponding author.

Keywords and phrases: linear process, weak law of large numbers, Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund, short-range dependence, long-range dependence, infinite variance.

MSC2010: 60F99, 60G99.

We consider a linear process $\{X_k\} = \{X_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, i.e. random variables defined by

$$X_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{k-j} \tag{1}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\{\psi_j\} = \{\psi_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the convention that $\psi_j = 0$ if $j < 0$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\} = \{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are i.i.d. random variables. Such process is also called an infinite-order moving average process. Let $\{S_n : n \geq 1\}$ be the partial sums of the linear process $\{X_k\}$ given by $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$ for $n \geq 1$.

We establish sufficient conditions for the M-Z WLLN for the linear process $\{X_k\}$. The motivation for the problems that we investigate comes from the central limit theorem for the linear process $\{X_k\}$.

Suppose for the moment that $\sum \psi_j^2 < \infty$, $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0^2 < \infty$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Ibragimov established that $(\mathrm{E}\,S_n^2)^{-1/2} S_n$ converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable as $n \to \infty$ if $\mathrm{E}\,S_n^2 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (see Theorem 2.5 of [3] or Theorem 18.6.5 of [4]). However, the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{E}\,S_n^2$ depends on the convergence of $\sum |\psi_j|$. If $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \neq 0$, then $n^{-1/2} S_n$ converges in distribution to a normal random variable as $n \to \infty$ (see Theorem 2.5 of [3] and Theorem 3.11 of [10]). If $\sum |\psi_j| = \infty$, the growth rate of the normalizing sequence might be higher than $n^{1/2}$. For example, if $\psi_j = (j+1)^{-d}$ for $j \geq 0$ with $1/2 < d < 1$, then $n^{-(1/2+1-d)} S_n$ converges in distribution to a normal random variable as $n \to \infty$ (see Chapter 3 of [2] for more details). Using the same example, we see that the sequence $S_n / n^{1/p}$ does not converge to 0 in probability for any p such that $p \geq 1/(1/2 + 1 - d)$ despite the fact that $x^p \Pr\{|X_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ for $p < 2$. Hence, the norming sequence $\{n^{1/p} : n \geq 1\}$ is not suitable for the M-Z WLLN for a general linear process.

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{W}_n(p) = \Bigg(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} |w_{nj}|^p \Bigg)^{1/p} \tag{2}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, where $w_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{k-j}$. $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ is finite for $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$ if $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$. We now state our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ be i.i.d. random variables such that $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Assume that $\{\psi_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$. If $\mathcal{W}_n(p) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\frac{S_n}{\mathcal{W}_n(p)} \to 0 \quad \textit{in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ is given by (2) and S_n is the n-th partial sum of the linear process $\{X_k\}$ defined by (1).

There are examples of $\{\psi_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ does not go to infinity as $n \to \infty$ (for instance, $\psi_0 = 1$, $\psi_1 = -1$ and $\psi_j = 0$ for $j > 1$).

Let us observe that $\mathcal{W}_n(2) = (\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0^2)^{-1/2} \cdot (\mathrm{E}\,S_n^2)^{1/2}$ provided that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 < \infty$, $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0^2 < \infty$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Hence, the norming sequence $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ is essentially an extension of the result of Ibragimov mentioned above to the weak LLN.

Suppose that $\psi_0 = 1$ and $\psi_j = 0$ for $j > 0$. Then $X_k = \varepsilon_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{W}_n(p) = n^{1/p}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $p > 0$. Hence, $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ together with $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$ is not only sufficient, but also necessary in this example. This example shows that the moment assumptions of $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in Theorem 1 are sharp. Furthermore, the norming sequence $b_n(p) = n^{1/p}$ for $n \geq 1$ with $1 < p < 2$ is optimal in this example.

Instead of assuming that $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, we can assume that $x^p \Pr\{|X_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ since these two conditions are equivalent if $1 < p < 2$, $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$ and $\sum |\psi|^p < \infty$ (see Proposition 8 in Subsection 3.2).

The growth rate of $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ depends on the convergence of $\sum |\psi_j|$. If $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$, we establish that $\mathcal{W}_n(p) = O(n^{1/p})$ as $n \to \infty$ (see Proposition 4 in Subsection 2.2) and obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ be i.i.d. random variables such that $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. If $\{\psi_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$, then*

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} \to 0 \quad \textit{in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

where S_n is the n-th partial sum of the linear process $\{X_k\}$ defined by (1).

If $0 < p \leq 1$, $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$, $\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon_0|^p < \infty$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$ when $p = 1$, we have that $n^{-1/p} S_n \to 0$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$. The proof of this fact follows from the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong law of large numbers (M-Z SLLN) for i.i.d. random variables since the assumption of independence is superfluous when $0 < p < 1$ (see [9]). For the case when $p = 1$, see Corollary 2.1.3 and Example 2.1.4 of [11].

Thus, a linear process $\{X_k\}$ is short-range dependent or has short memory with respect to the M-Z WLLN if $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$.

If $\sum |\psi_j| = \infty$, the sequence $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ might grow faster than $n^{1/p}$. As an example, we consider $\psi_j = (j+1)^{-d}$ for $j \geq 0$ with $1/p < d < 1$. Then $\mathcal{W}_n(p) \sim c \cdot n^{1/p+1-d}$ as $n \to \infty$ with a positive constant c (see Proposition 5 in Subsection 2.2) and the linear processes $\{X_k\}$ is long-range dependent or has long memory with respect to the M-Z WLLN. We obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ be i.i.d. random variables such that $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Suppose that $\{\psi_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\psi_j = (j+1)^{-d}$ for $j \geq 0$ with $1/p < d < 1$. Then*

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{1/p+1-d}} \to 0 \quad \textit{in probability as } n \to \infty,$$

where S_n is the n-th partial sum of the linear process $\{X_k\}$ defined by (1).

In the next proposition, we establish the rate of convergence in the M-Z WLLN for a linear process under stronger assumptions than in Theorem 1.

Proposition 2. *Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ be i.i.d. random variables such that $\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^p \log(1+|\varepsilon_0|)] < \infty$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Assume that $\{\psi_j\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$. If there exists $p < q \leq 2$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_n(q)}{\mathcal{W}_n(p)} = O(n^{1/q-1/p}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S_n| > \delta\} < \infty$$

for each $\delta > 0$, where $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$ is given by (2) and S_n is the n-th partial sum of the linear process $\{X_k\}$ defined by (1).

As far as we know, there is only one paper about the M-Z SLLN under long-range dependence. The M-Z SLLN for a particular linear process $\{X_k\}$ is investigated in [8]. It is assumed that $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ are i.i.d. symmetric α-stable random variables with $1 < \alpha < 2$ and that there exists $1 \leq s < \alpha$ such that $\sum |\psi_j|^s < \infty$. Let us observe that it suffices to assume that $\sum |\psi_j|^\alpha < \infty$ to define such a linear process, so a stronger assumption about $\{\psi_j : j \geq 0\}$ than needed to define a linear process is made in [8]. Under these assumptions, it is proved in [8] that $n^{-1/p} S_n \to 0$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$ for all p such that $1/p > 1 - 1/s + 1/\alpha$. It seems that the proof also works when $\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon_0|^p < \infty$ for $p < q$ with $1 < q < 2$ and there exists $1 \leq s < q$ such that $\sum |\psi_j|^s < \infty$. The M-Z SLLN for linear processes with long range dependence is established in [8] under stronger assumptions than ours. We establish the M-Z WLLN, but we make sharp and natural assumptions on $\{\varepsilon_k\}$.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present some facts about moments of random variables, establish the almost sure convergence of series (1) and investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathcal{W}_n(p)$. The proofs of our main results are in Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

For $0 < p < \infty$, $L_{p,\infty}$ denotes the space of real valued random variables ξ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Pr)$ such that

$$\|\xi\|_{p,\infty} = \big(\sup_{x>0}(x^p \Pr\{|\xi| > x\})\big)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The functional $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is a quasi-norm and satisfies the following inequality

$$\|\zeta + \xi\|_{p,\infty} \leq \max\{2, 2^{1/p}\}(\|\zeta\|_{p,\infty} + \|\xi\|_{p,\infty}) \tag{4}$$

for $\zeta, \xi \in L_{p,\infty}$. We have, when $r > p > 0$,

$$\|\xi\|_{p,\infty} \le (\mathrm{E}\,|\xi|^p)^{1/p} \le \Big(\frac{r}{r-p}\Big)^{1/p} \|\xi\|_{r,\infty}. \tag{5}$$

There exists a constant $C(p) > 0$ with $1 \le p < 2$ such that

$$\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i\Big\|_{p,\infty}^{p} \le C(p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\xi_i\|_{p,\infty}^{p} \tag{6}$$

for independent and *symmetric* random variables $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in L_{p,\infty}$ (see Proposition 9.13 of [7]). For any $r > 0$, any $a > 0$ and any random variable ξ,

$$\mathrm{E}[|\xi|^r I_{\{|\xi| \le a\}}] = r \int_0^a x^{r-1} \Pr\{|\xi| > x\} \mathrm{d}x - a^r \Pr\{|\xi| > a\}. \tag{7}$$

2.1 Convergence of the series

We establish sufficient conditions for the almost sure convergence of series (1).
Proposition 3. *Let $p > 0$. Suppose that $\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty} < \infty$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$ if $p > 1$. Series* (1) *converges almost surely if:*

(i) $p \ne 1$, $p \ne 2$ *and* $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^q < \infty$, *where* $q = \min\{p, 2\}$;

(ii) $p = 1$ *and* $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \log |\psi_j|^{-1} < \infty$;

(iii) $p = 2$ *and* $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 \log |\psi_j|^{-1} < \infty$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $\psi_j \ne 0$ for each $j \ge 0$. We establish the convergence of the following series:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}; \tag{8}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \,\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}]; \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \,\mathrm{Var}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}]. \tag{10}$$

First, we establish convergence of series (8). We have that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p |\psi_j|^{-p} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\} \le \|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p. \tag{11}$$

Secondly, we investigate the convergence of series (9). If $0 < p < 1$, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \,\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p \frac{|\psi_j|^{p-1}}{1-p} = \frac{\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p}{1-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p$$

using (7). If $p = 1$, then

$$\int_0^{|\psi_j|^{-1}} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > s\} \mathrm{d}s \le 1 + \|\varepsilon_0\|_{1,\infty} \log |\psi_j|^{-1}$$

for $j \ge J$, where $J \ge 0$ is such that $|\psi_j|^{-1} \ge 1$ when $j \ge J$. Using (7),

$$\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \,\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \le \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j| + \|\varepsilon_0\|_{1,\infty} \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \log |\psi_j|^{-1}.$$

If $p > 1$, we have that

$$\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] = \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] - \mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = -\,\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \qquad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] &= \int_0^{|\psi_j|^{-1}} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}} > x\} \mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + \int_{|\psi_j|^{-1}}^{\infty} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}} > x\} \mathrm{d}x \\
&= |\psi_j|^{-1} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\} + \int_{|\psi_j|^{-1}}^{\infty} \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}} > x\} = \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}$ for $0 \le x \le |\psi_j|^{-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \,\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] &\le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p \Big[1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\Big] |\psi_j|^{p-1} \\
&= \|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p \Big[1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\Big] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we complete the proof by establishing the convergence of series (10). If $0 < p < 2$, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 \,\mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^2 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 2 \|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p \frac{|\psi_j|^{p-2}}{2-p} = \frac{2\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p}{2-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p$$

using (7). If $p = 2$, then

$$\int_0^{|\psi_j|^{-1}} 2s \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > s\} \mathrm{d}s \le 1 + 2\|\varepsilon_0\|_{2,\infty}^2 \log |\psi_j|^{-1}$$

for $j \geq J$, where $J \geq 0$ is such that $|\psi_j|^{-1} \geq 1$ when $j \geq J$. Using (7),

$$\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 \, \mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^2 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \leq |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \leq \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 + 2\|\varepsilon_0\|_{2,\infty}^2 \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2 \log |\psi_j|^{-1}.$$

If $p > 2$, then $\mathrm{Var}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \leq |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}] \to \mathrm{Var}\, \varepsilon_0$ as $j \to \infty$ and the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \, \mathrm{Var}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \leq |\psi_j|^{-1}\}}]$$

converges if $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 < \infty$. □

Remark. Suppose that $\psi_j > 0$ for each $j \geq 0$ and that ε_0 has the density function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x^2} & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\varepsilon_0 \in L_{1,\infty}$ and

$$\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{\varepsilon_0 \leq \psi_j^{-1}\}}] = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\psi_j^{-1}} \frac{x}{1+x^2} \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{\pi} \log(\psi_j^{-2} + 1)$$

so that the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{E}[\psi_j \varepsilon_{k-j} I_{\{|\psi_j \varepsilon_{k-j}| \leq 1\}}]$$

converges if and only if $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \log \psi_j^{-1} < \infty$ since $\log(\psi_j^{-2} + 1) \sim 2 \log \psi_j^{-1}$. Hence, the condition in Proposition 3 when $p = 1$ is sharp. Similarly, we can construct an example to show that the condition in Proposition 3 when $p = 2$ is also sharp.

2.2 Asymptotic behaviour of $\{\mathcal{W}_n(p)\}$

We investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $\{\mathcal{W}_n(p)\}$ in this subsection.

Proposition 4. *Suppose that $p \geq 1$ and $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathcal{W}_n(p) = O(n^{1/p})$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. We have that $\mathcal{W}_n^p(p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{k+j}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \psi_k|^p$. There exists $N \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |\psi_j| \leq 1$ since $\sum |\psi_j| < \infty$. It follows that $|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{k+j}| \leq 1$ for $j \geq N-1$ and $n \geq 1$. Hence,

$$\sum_{j=N-1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{k+j} \right|^p \leq \sum_{j=N-1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_{k+j} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |\psi_{k+j}| \leq n$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{k+j}\right|^{p} \le \sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{k+j}\right|^{p} + n \le (N-1)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|\psi_k|\right)^{p} + n.$$

Also, we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\psi_k\right|^{p} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}|\psi_k|\right)^{p} \le n\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\psi_k|\right)^{p}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proposition 5. *Let $p > 1$ and $1/p < d < 1$. Suppose that $\psi_j = (j+1)^{-d}$ for $j \ge 0$. Then $\mathcal{W}_n(p) \sim c \cdot n^{1/p+1-d}$ as $n \to \infty$, where c is a positive constant.*

Proof. We have that

$$\mathcal{W}_n^p(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k+j)^{-d}\right|^{p} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-j+1}k^{-d}\right|^{p}.$$

We obtain that the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^{1+p(1-d)}}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k+j)^{-d}\right|^{p} \\
&\quad= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=(j-1)n+1}^{jn}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}+\frac{l}{n}\right)^{-d}\right|^{p} \\
&\quad= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=(j-1)n+1}^{jn}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}+\frac{l}{n}\right)^{-d}\right|^{p} \\
&\quad= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{j-1}^{j}\left|\int_{0}^{1}(x+y)^{-d}\mathrm{d}x\right|^{p}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad= \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{1}(x+y)^{-d}\mathrm{d}x\right|^{p}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}$$

is finite and positive. By approximating sums with definite integrals, we obtain $\sum_{k=1}^{n-j+1}k^{-d} \le (n-j+1)^{1-d}/(1-d)$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\frac{(n-j+1)^{1-d}}{1-d}\right|^{p} \le \int_{0}^{n}\frac{(n-x+1)^{p(1-d)}}{[1-d]^{p}}\mathrm{d}x = \frac{(n+1)^{1+p(1-d)}-1}{[1+p(1-d)][1-d]^{p}}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proposition 6. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Suppose that $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$. If $\mathcal{W}_n(p) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\frac{\sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |w_{nj}|}{\mathcal{W}_n(p)} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$(t+h)^p - t^p = p(t+\theta h)^{p-1}h$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $h \geq 0$. Hence,

$$(t+h)^p \leq t^p + p(t+h)^{p-1}h \tag{13}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $h \geq 0$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{nj}|^p = \\
&\leq \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} (|w_{n,j-1}| + |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}|)^p \\
&\leq \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{n,j-1}|^p + p \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} (|w_{n,j-1}| + |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}|)^{p-1} |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}|
\end{aligned}$$

using the triangle inequality and inequality (13).

For $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $p > 0$,

$$(a+b)^p \leq \max\{1, 2^{p-1}\}(a^p + b^p). \tag{14}$$

By inequality (14),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=m-k}^{m} (|w_{n,j-1}| + |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}|)^{p-1} |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}| \\
&\qquad \leq \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{n,j-1}|^{p-1} |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}| + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1}|^p
\end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq p - 1 < 1$. We have that

$$\sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{nj}|^p - \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{n,j-1}|^p = |w_{nm}|^p - |w_{n,m-k-1}|^p$$

so it follows that

$$|w_{nm}|^p \le |w_{n,m-k-1}|^p + p\sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{n,j-1}|^{p-1}|w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}| \\ + p\sum_{j=m-k}^{m} |w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}|^p.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |w_{nm}|^p \le p\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}|^{p-1}|w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}| + p\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}|^p.$$

By Hölder's inequality with p and $q = p/(p-1)$,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}|^{p-1}|w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}| \\ \le \Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}|^p\Big)^{1/q}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}-w_{n,j-1}|^p\Big)^{1/p}.$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |w_{nm}|^p \le p\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |w_{nj}|^p\Big)^{1/q}\Big(2^p\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\psi_j|^p\Big)^{1/p} + 2^p p\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\psi_j|^p \qquad (15)$$

using the triangle inequality and inequality (14) since

$$w_{nj} - w_{n,j-1} = \psi_{1-j} - \psi_{n-j+1}.$$

Inequality (15) completes the proof since $\mathcal{W}_n(p) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\sum|\psi_j| < \infty$. The proof is complete. □

3 Proofs of the main results

Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 follows from the next lemma that establishes sufficient conditions for any sequence $\{b_n(p)\} = \{b_n(p) : n \ge 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $1 < p < 2$ to be the norming sequence in the M-Z WLLN for the linear process $\{X_k\}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 < p < 2$. Suppose that $\sum|\psi_j|^p < \infty$, $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$. If*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{j\le n}|w_{nj}|}{b_n(p)} = 0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad W(p) = \sup_{n\ge1}\frac{\mathcal{W}_n(p)}{b_n(p)} < \infty, \qquad (16)$$

then

$$\frac{S_n}{b_n(p)} \to 0 \quad \textit{in probability as } n \to \infty.$$

We choose $b_n(p) = \mathcal{W}_n(p)$ for $n \geq 1$ and use Proposition 6 to establish Theorem 1. We set $b_n(p) = n^{1/p}$ for $n \geq 1$ and use Proposition 4 to obtain Proposition 1.

We make use of the technique of truncation in the proofs. Let us introduce the notations. Suppose that $\{r_{nj}\} = \{r_{nj} : n \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are positive real numbers. Denote $\mu'_{nj} = \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \leq r_{nj}\}}]$ and $\mu''_{nj} = \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_{nj}\}}]$ for $n \geq 1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where I_A denotes the indicator function of a set A. Set $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon'_{nj} + \varepsilon''_{nj}$, where

$$\varepsilon'_{nj} = \varepsilon_j I_{\{|\varepsilon_j| \leq r_{nj}\}} - \mu'_{nj} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon''_{nj} = \varepsilon_j I_{\{|\varepsilon_j| > r_{nj}\}} - \mu''_{nj}$$

so that $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = \mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon'_{nj} = \mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon''_{nj} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By $\{\tilde{\varepsilon}''_{nj} : n \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ we denote an idependent copy of $\{\varepsilon''_{nj} : n \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ so that $\{\varepsilon''_j - \tilde{\varepsilon}''_j : n \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are independent and symmetric random variables. For $n \geq 1$, denote

$$S'_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon'_{nj} \quad \text{and} \quad S''_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon''_{nj}. \tag{17}$$

We need the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that* $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ *as* $x \to \infty$ *for some* $p > 1$ *and* $r_n \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$, *where* $r_n = \inf_{j \leq n} r_{nj}$. *Then* $\sup_{j \leq n} \|\varepsilon''_{nj}\|_{p,\infty} \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let us denote $M''_n = \mathrm{E}[|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}}]$. Using the triangle inequality and the fact that $|\mu''_{nj}| \leq M''_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{j \leq n} \|\varepsilon''_{nj}\|^p_{p,\infty} &= \sup_{j \leq n} \sup_{x>0} (x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_{nj}\}} - \mu''_{nj}| > x\}) \\ &\leq \sup_{x>0} (x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}} > x - M''_n\}).\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}} > x - M''_n\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < x < M''_n, \\ \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}, & \text{if } M''_n \leq x \leq M''_n + r_n, \\ \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\}, & \text{if } x > M''_n + r_n. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{x>0} (x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}} > x - M''_n\}) \\ &\leq \max\{(M''_n)^p, (M''_n + r_n)^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > r_n\}, \sup_{x > M''_n + r_n} (x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\})\} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ since $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and $r_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} M''_n = 0$. □

3.1 Proof of Lemma 1

First, we show that $b_n^{-1}(p)S_n''$ converges in probability to 0 as $n \to \infty$ in Proposition 7 and then we move to the proof of Lemma 1.

Proposition 7. *Let $1 < p < 2$. If $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = \infty$, where $r_n = \inf_{j\le n} r_{nj}$, then*

$$b_n^{-1}(p)S_n'' \to 0$$

in probability as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The functional $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is only a quasi-norm and it is not necessarily continuous, so that inequality (6) might not hold for series. Hence, we split the series $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon_{nj}''$ into two parts. For $N < n$ and $\delta > 0$, we have that

$$\Pr\{|b_n^{-1}(p)S_n''| > \delta/2\} \le \Pr\left\{\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=-\infty}^{N} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j''\right| > \delta/4\right\} + \Pr\left\{\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N+1}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j''\right| > \delta/4\right\}. \quad (18)$$

The series $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j''$ converges almost surely for each $n \ge 1$. Therefore $b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=-\infty}^{N} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j'' \to 0$ almost surely as $N \to -\infty$ for each $n \ge 1$ and there exists $N(n) < n$ for each $n \ge 1$ and each $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=-\infty}^{N(n)} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j''\right| \le \delta/4$$

almost surely and the first term on the right side of (18) is 0.

Using Markov's inequality and the fact that $\mathrm{E}\,\tilde{\varepsilon}_{nj}'' = 0$ for each $n \ge 1$ and each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\Pr\left\{\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon_j''\right| > \delta/4\right\} < \frac{4}{\delta}\,\mathrm{E}\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}(\varepsilon_{nj}'' - \mathrm{E}\,\tilde{\varepsilon}_{nj}'')\right| \le \frac{4}{\delta}\,\mathrm{E}\left|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}(\varepsilon_{nj}'' - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{nj}'')\right|.$$

By inequalities (5),(6) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\Big\{\Big|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon''_{nj}\Big| > \delta/2\Big\} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\delta}\Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)\Big\|b_n^{-1}(p)\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}(\varepsilon''_{nj}-\tilde{\varepsilon}''_{nj})\Big\|_{p,\infty} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4C(p)^{1/p}}{\delta}\Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)\cdot b_n^{-1}(p)\Big(\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n}\|w_{nj}(\varepsilon''_{nj}-\tilde{\varepsilon}''_{nj})\|_{p,\infty}^{p}\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\quad\le \frac{16C(p)^{1/p}}{\delta}\Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)\cdot b_n^{-1}(p)\Big(\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n}|w_{nj}|^p\|\varepsilon''_{nj}\|_{p,\infty}^{p}\Big)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n}|w_{nj}|^p)^{1/p} \le \mathcal{W}_n(p)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\Big\{\Big|b_n^{-1}\sum_{j=N(n)+1}^{n} w_{nj}\varepsilon''_{nj}\Big| > \delta/2\Big\} \\
&\qquad\qquad\le \frac{16C^{1/p}(p)}{\delta}\Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)\frac{\mathcal{W}_n(p)}{b_n(p)}\Big(\sup_{j\le n}\|\varepsilon''_{nj}\|_{p,\infty}^{p}\Big)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\sup_{j\le n}\|\varepsilon''_{nj}\|_{p,\infty}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ using Lemma 2 since $x^p\Pr\{\|\varepsilon_0\|>x\}\to 0$ as $x\to\infty$ and $r_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Lemma 1. For $n\ge 1$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\tau>0$ and $\delta>0$, set

$$r_{nj} = \Big[\frac{\tau\delta^2(2-p)}{8\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^{p}W(p)}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2-p}}\cdot\frac{b_n(p)}{|w_{nj}|}. \tag{19}$$

Using Chebyshev's inequality and (7), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Pr\{|b_n^{-1}(p)S'_n|>\delta/2\} \le 4\delta^{-2}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big[b_n^{-2}(p)\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n}|w_{nj}|^2\,\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon'_{nj}|^2\Big] \le \tau.$$

Since $r_n=\inf_{j\le n} r_{nj}\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, where r_{nj} is given by (19), we have that $\Pr\{|b_n^{-1}S''_n|>\delta/2\}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for each $\delta>0$ by Proposition 7. Hence, $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Pr\{|b_n^{-1}(p)S_n|>\delta\}\le\tau$ for each $\delta>0$ and $\tau>0$. The proof is complete. □

3.2 Equivalence of moment assumptions

We show that $x^p\Pr\{|\varepsilon_0|>x\}\to 0$ as $x\to\infty$ and $x^p\Pr\{|X_0|>x\}\to 0$ as $x\to\infty$ are equivalent conditions if $1<p<2$, $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0=0$ and $\sum|\psi|^p<\infty$.

Proposition 8. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and suppose that $\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_0 = 0$ and $\sum |\psi_j|^p < \infty$. Then $x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ if and only if $x^p \Pr\{|X_0| > x\} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$.*

Proof. We only prove sufficiency. See Lemma 3.7 of [5] for the proof of necessity.

Suppose that $\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an independent copy of $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ so that $\{\varepsilon_k - \tilde{\varepsilon}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are independent and symmetric random variables. Let $a > 0$ be such that $\Pr\{|X_0| \le a\} \ge 1/2$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(\varepsilon_{-j} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{-j})\Bigr| > t\biggr\} &\ge \Pr\{|X_0| > t + a\} \Pr\{|X_0| \le a\} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2} \Pr\{|X_0| > t + a\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we assume that $\{\varepsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are symmetric in the remainder of the proof since the general case can be proved using symmetrization.

We have that

$$x^p \Pr\{|X_0| > 2x\} \le x^p \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon'_{-j}\Bigr| > x\biggr\} + x^p \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\Bigr| > x\biggr\},$$

where $\varepsilon'_j = \varepsilon_j I_{\{|\varepsilon_j| \le \delta x\}}$ and $\varepsilon''_j = \varepsilon_j I_{\{|\varepsilon_j| > \delta x\}}$.

Using Chebyshev's inequality and (7),

$$\begin{aligned}x^p \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon'_{-j}\Bigr| > x\biggr\} &\le x^{p-2} \,\mathrm{E}\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon'_{-j}\Bigr|^2 = x^{p-2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \,\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_0^2 I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| \le \delta x\}}] \\ &\le \frac{2\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p}{2-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \delta^{2-p}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}$ converges almost surely for each $x > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $J \ge 0$ that depends on δ and x such that $|\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}| \le x/2$ almost surely. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\Bigr| > x\biggr\} &\le \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{J} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\Bigr| > x/2\biggr\} + \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\Bigr| > x/2\biggr\} \\ &\le \Pr\biggl\{\Bigl|\sum_{j=0}^{J} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\Bigr| > x/2\biggr\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $\Pr\{|\xi| > x\} \le \|\xi\|_{p,\infty}^p / x^p$ for a random variable ξ, $x > 0$

and $p > 0$ and inequality (6), we obtain

$$x^p \Pr\left\{\left|\sum_{j=0}^{J} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\right| > x/2\right\} \le 2^p \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{J} \psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\right\|_{p,\infty}^p \le 2^p C(p) \sum_{j=0}^{J} \|\psi_j \varepsilon''_{-j}\|_{p,\infty}^p$$
$$\le 2^p C(p) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^p \|\varepsilon''_0\|_{p,\infty}^p.$$

We have that $\|\varepsilon''_0\|_{p,\infty}^p = \sup_{x>0}(x^p \Pr\{|\varepsilon_0| > \max\{\delta t, x\}\}) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ and, for $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} x^p \Pr\{|X_0| > x\} \le \frac{\|\varepsilon_0\|_{p,\infty}^p}{2-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 \cdot \delta^{2-p}. \qquad \square$$

3.3 Proof of the convergence rate

In this subsection, we prove Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2. Set $r_{nj} = n^{1/p}$ for each $n \ge 1$ and each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S_n| > \delta\} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S'_n| > \delta/2\}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S''_n| > \delta/2\}. \quad (20)$$

Using Markov's inequality, the von Bahr-Esseen inequality (see [12]) and (3), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} k^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S'_n| > \delta/2\} \le \frac{2^{q+1}}{\delta^q} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_n(q)}{\mathcal{W}_n(p)}\right)^q \mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon'_{k0}|^q$$
$$\le M \frac{2^{q+1}}{\delta^q} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon'_{n0}|^q}{n^{q/p}}$$

for $N \ge 1$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_n(q)}{\mathcal{W}_n(p)} \le M n^{1/q - 1/p}$$

for $n \ge N$, where M is a positive constant. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon'_{n0}|^q}{n^{q/p}}$$

converges if and only if $\mathrm{E}\,|\varepsilon_0|^p < \infty$. Hence, the first series on the right side of (20) converges.

Using Markov's inequality and the von Bahr-Esseen inequality, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \Pr\{|\mathcal{W}_n^{-1}(p) S_n''| > \delta/2\} \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\delta^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \operatorname{E} |\varepsilon_{n0}''|^p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \operatorname{E} |\varepsilon_{n0}''|^p &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \operatorname{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^p I_{\{l^{1/p} < |\varepsilon_0| \leq (l+1)^{1/p}\}}] \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{l} n^{-1} \operatorname{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^p I_{\{l^{1/p} < |\varepsilon_0| \leq (l+1)^{1/p}\}}] \\
&\leq \operatorname{E} |\varepsilon_0|^p + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \log l \operatorname{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^p I_{\{l^{1/p} < |\varepsilon_0| \leq (l+1)^{1/p}\}}] \\
&\leq \operatorname{E} |\varepsilon_0|^p + p \operatorname{E}[|\varepsilon_0|^p \log |\varepsilon_0| I_{\{|\varepsilon_0| > 1\}}].
\end{aligned}$$

The second series on the right side of (20) also converges. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgement. The financial support of the DFG (German Science Foundation) SFB 823: Statistical modeling of nonlinear dynamic processes is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] W. Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, volume 2. Wiley, second edition, 1971.

[2] L. Giraitis, H. L. Koul, and D. Surgailis. *Large Sample Inference for Long Memory Processes*. Imperial College Press, 2012.

[3] I. A. Ibragimov. Some limit theorems for stationary processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 7(4):349–382, 1962.

[4] I. A. Ibragimov and Y. V. Linnik. *Independent and stationary sequences of random variables*. Series of Monographs and Textbooks on Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.

[5] M. Juodis, A. Račkauskas, and C. Suquet. Hölderian invariance principle for linear processes. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 5:47–64, 2009.

[6] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Probability and Its Applications. Springer, 1997.

[7] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand. *Probability in Banach Spaces*. Springer, 1991.

[8] S. Louhichi and P. Soulier. Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong laws for infinite variance time series. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.*, 3(1-2):31–40, 2000.

[9] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund. Sur les foncions indépendantes. *Fund. Math.*, 29(1):60–90, 1937.

[10] P. C. B. Phillips and V. Solo. Asymptotics for linear processes. *Ann. Statist.*, 20(2):971–1001, June 1992.

[11] D. Straumann. *Estimation in conditionally heteroscedastic time series models*, volume 181 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*. Springer, 2005.

[12] B. von Bahr and C.-G. Esseen. Inequalities for the rth absolute moment of a sum of random variables, $1 \leq r \leq 2$. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 36(1):299–303, 1965.